



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,153	11/13/2001	Shinichi Takagi	1018760-000016	6439
21839 7590 10/28/2011 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				
EXAMINER CLARK, SHEILA V				
ART UNIT 2823		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 10/28/2011		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com
offserv@bipc.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/987,153	13 November, 2001	TAKAGI ET AL.	1018760-000016

BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404	EXAMINER	
	S. V. CLARK	
	ART UNIT	PAPER
	2823	3

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The response filed 4-19-2011 cancelled the amended claims and appears to leave remaining only the original patented claims. The grounds for filing a reissue is to correct an error in the patent and there must be at least one error in the patent to provide grounds for reissue (see MPEP-1402). MPEP-1402 also states that if there is no error in the patent the patent will not be reissued.

Now that this application has only the original patent claims pending the intentions of the applicant relative to this application is unclear. It also does not appear to be a discussion in the applicant arguments filed 4-19-2011 that clarify the fore noted issues. Also with all amended claims cancelled there appears to be no explanation relative to what supposed errors that applicant now wishes to correct.

Applicant is asked to please provide clarity to the response filed 4/19 2011 relative to how this application now with only original patented claims pending meets the requirements for filing of a reissue application including an explanation of what supposed error this application now intending to correct.

The timely submission under 37 CFR 1.129(a) filed on 4/19/2011 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because it appears that the applicant has failed to provide clarity relative to how this application now with only original patented claims pending meets the requirements for filing of a reissue application as noted above . Since the submission appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

/S. V. CLARK/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2823